Abstract

We study the singularities of the irreducible components of the Springer fiber over a nilpotent element $N$ with $N^2 = 0$ in a Lie algebra of type $A$ or $D$ (the so-called two columns case). We use Frobenius splitting techniques to prove that these irreducible components are normal, Cohen–Macaulay, and have rational singularities.

1 Introduction

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic not equal to 2. Let $N$ be a nilpotent element in a Lie algebra $g = gl_n$ (type $A$) or $g = so_{2n}$ (type $D$). We consider the Springer fiber $F_N$ over $N$. It is the fiber of the famous Springer resolution of the nilpotent cone $N \subset g$ over $N$.

This resolution can be constructed as follows. Let $F$ be the variety of complete flags in $K^n$ (resp. $O_F$ the variety of complete isotropic flags, see Section 3 for the description of the Springer fiber $O_{F_N}$ in this case). A flag $f = (V_i)_{i \in [0,n]}$, where $V_i$ is a vector subspace of $K^n$ of dimension $i$, is stabilized by $N \in N$ if $N(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}$ for all $i > 0$. We shall denote this by $N(f) \subset f$. Define the variety

$$\widetilde{N} = \{(f,N) \in F \times N \mid N(f) \subset f\}.$$ 

The projection $\widetilde{N} \rightarrow F$ is a smooth morphism thus $\widetilde{N}$ is smooth. The natural projection $\widetilde{N} \rightarrow N$ is birational and proper. It is a resolution of singularities for $N$ called the Springer resolution.

The Springer fibers, i.e., the fibers of the Springer resolution, are of great interest. They are connected (this can be seen directly or follows from the normality of the nilpotent cone $N$), equidimensional, but not irreducible. There is a natural combinatorial framework to describe them: Young diagrams and standard tableaux.

The irreducible components of the Springer fibers are not well understood. For example, it is known that in general the components are singular but there is no general description of the singular components. There are only partial answers in type $A$. First, it is known in the so-called hook and two lines cases that all the components are smooth (see [Fun03]). The first case where singular components appear is the two columns case. A description of the singular components in the two columns case has been given by L. Fresse in [Fre09a] and [Fre09b]. In their recent work [FM10] L. Fresse and A. Melnikov describe the Young diagrams for which all irreducible components are smooth.
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In this paper, we focus on the two columns case, that is to say, the case of nilpotent elements $N$ of order 2. The corresponding Young diagram $\lambda = \lambda(N)$ has two columns. We want to understand the type of singularities appearing in a component of the Springer fiber.

Let $X$ be an irreducible component of the Springer fiber $F_N$, resp. $O_F$, in type $A$, resp. $D$, with $N$ nilpotent such that $N^2 = 0$. In the two columns case, we describe a resolution $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ of the irreducible component $X$. We use this resolution to prove, for $\text{Char}(K) > 0$, that $X$ is Frobenius split, and deduce the following result for arbitrary characteristic:

**Theorem 1.1.** The irreducible component $X$ is normal.

We are able to prove more on the resolution $\pi$. Recall that a proper birational morphism $f: X \to Y$ is called a rational resolution if $X$ is smooth and if the equalities $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X = R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for $i > 0$ are satisfied. We prove the following

**Theorem 1.2.** The morphism $\pi$ is a rational resolution.

**Corollary 1.3.** The irreducible component $X$ is Cohen–Macaulay with dualizing sheaf $\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}}$.

Rational singularities are well defined in characteristic zero. In this case we obtain the following

**Corollary 1.4.** If $\text{Char}(K) = 0$, then $X$ has rational singularities.
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2 Irreducible components of Springer fibers in type A

2.1 General case

Let $N \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ be a nilpotent element, and let $(m_i)_{i \in [1,r]}$ be the sizes of Jordan blocks of $N$. To $N$ we assign a Young diagram $\lambda = \lambda(N)$ of size $n$ with rows of lengths $(m_i)_{i \in [1,r]}$. We refer to [Ful97] for more details on Young diagrams.

**Definition 2.1.** A standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a bijection $\tau : \lambda \to [1,n]$ such that the numbers assigned to the boxes in each row are decreasing from left to right, and the numbers in each column are decreasing from top to bottom.

**Remark 2.2.** Usually, one requires that the integers in the boxes of a standard tableau increase, not decrease from left to right and from top to bottom. However, using decreasing tableaux in our case simplifies the notation, so we decided to follow this (rather unusual) definition.

Remark that the datum of a standard tableau $\tau$ is equivalent to the datum of a chain of decreasing Young diagrams $\lambda = \lambda(N)$ differing by one corner box.

Let $f = (V_i) \in \mathcal{F}$ be an $N$-stable flag. We assign to it a standard tableau of shape $\lambda = \lambda(N)$ in the following way. Consider the quotient spaces $V^{(i)} = V/V_i$. The endomorphism $N$ induces an endomorphism of each of these quotients $N^{(i)} : V^{(i)} \to V^{(i)}$. Take the Young diagram $\lambda^{(i)}$ corresponding to $N^{(i)}$; it consists of $n - i$ boxes. Clearly, $\lambda^{(i)}$ differs from $\lambda^{(i-1)}$ by one corner box. So we obtain a chain of decreasing Young diagrams, which is equivalent to a standard Young tableau $\tau(f)$.

Let $\tau$ be a standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda(N)$. Define

$$X^0_\tau = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}_N \mid \tau(f) = \tau \}.$$

The following theorem is due to Spaltenstein [Spa82].

**Theorem 2.3.** For each standard tableau $\tau$, the subset $X^0_\tau$ is a smooth irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{F}_N$. Moreover, $\dim X^0_\tau = \dim \mathcal{F}_N$, so $X_\tau = \overline{X^0_\tau}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{F}_N$. Any irreducible component of $\mathcal{F}_N$ is obtained in this way.
2.2 Two columns case

In this paper, we focus on the case of nilpotent elements $N$ such that $N^2 = 0$. This is equivalent to saying that the Young diagram $\lambda(N)$ consists of (at most) two columns. Denote by $r$ the rank of $N$ or, equivalently, the number of boxes in the second column. Let $X = X_r$ be the irreducible component of the Springer fiber over $N$ corresponding to a standard tableau $\tau$. Denote the increasing sequence of labels in the second column of the standard tableau $\tau$ by $(p_i)_{i \in [1,r]}$. Set $p_0 = 0$ and $p_{r+1} = n + 1$.

According to F.Y.C. Fung [Fun03], the previous Theorem can be reformulated as follows.

**Proposition 2.4.** The irreducible component $X$ is the closure of the variety

$$X^0 = \left\{ (V_i)_{i \in [0,n]} \in \mathcal{F}_N \mid \begin{cases} V_i \subset V_{i-1} + \text{Im } N & \text{for } i \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\} \\ V_i \not\subset V_{i-1} + \text{Im } N & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right\}.$$  

An easy interpretation of this result is the following

**Corollary 2.5.** The irreducible component $X$ is the closure of the variety

$$X^0 = \left\{ (V_i)_{i \in [0,n]} \in \mathcal{F}_N \mid \dim(\text{Im } N \cap V_i) = k \text{ for all } k \in [0,r] \text{ and all } i \in \{p_k, p_{k+1}\} \right\}.$$  

**Proof.** We prove this by induction on $i$. We have $\dim(\text{Im } N \cap V_0) = 0$. The result is implied by the following equivalence: $(V_{i+1} \subset V_i + \text{Im } N) \Leftrightarrow (\dim(\text{Im } N \cap V_{i+1}) = \dim(\text{Im } N \cap V_i) + 1).$ \hfill $\square$

2.3 A birational transformation of the Springer fiber

The above description gives a natural way to construct a resolution of singularities for $X$. We start with the following simple birational transformation of $X$. Define the variety $\widehat{X}$ as follows:

$$\widehat{X} = \{(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]}, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n]} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N) \times \mathcal{F} \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k} \subset N^{-1}(F_{k-1}), \forall k \in [1,r]\},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$ denotes the variety of complete flags in $\text{Im } N$. The natural projections of the product $\mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N) \times \mathcal{F}$ on its two factors induce two maps $p_X : \widehat{X} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $q_X : \widehat{X} \to \mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$.

One of the main features of the two columns case that we will use is the following easy observation: $\text{Im } N \subset \text{Ker } N$. In particular, for any flag $(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$, the equalities $F_0 = \text{Im } N$ and $N^{-1}(F_0) = \text{Ker } N$ imply the following inclusions:

$$F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_r \subset N^{-1}(F_0) \subset \cdots \subset N^{-1}(F_r).$$

Fixing subspaces $(F_i)_{i \in [r,n-r]}$ with $\dim(F_i) = i$ such that

$$\text{Im } N \subset F_r \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-r} \subset \text{Ker } N$$

gives for any choice of $(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$ a complete flag

$$F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_r \subset F_{r+1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-r-1} \subset N^{-1}(F_0) \subset \cdots \subset N^{-1}(F_r)$$

in $N^{-1}(F_r) = \mathbb{K}^n$. We denote this complete flag by $F_\bullet$.

**Proposition 2.6.** (i) The map $q_X$ is dominant and is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$. Its fiber over $(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Im } N)$ is isomorphic to the following Schubert variety associated to $F_\bullet$:

$$\mathcal{F}_w = \{(V_i)_{i \in [0,n]} \in \mathcal{F} \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k} \subset N^{-1}(F_{k-1}), \forall k \in [1,r]\}.$$  

(ii) The map $p_X$ is birational onto $X$.  

Let \( V \) be a 2-dimensional vector space. Consider the group \( \text{SO}(V) \) of unimodular linear operators preserving a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form \( \omega \). Let \( B \) be a Borel subgroup in \( \text{SO}(V) \). The flag variety \( \text{SO}(V)/B \) is the variety \( O\mathcal{F} \) of orthogonal flags defined by

\[
O\mathcal{F} = \{ V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_n-1 \subset V_{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{2n} \mid V_{2n-i} = V_i^\perp \text{ and } \dim V_i = i \text{ for } i \leq n-1 \}.
\]
We will consider elements in $\mathcal{O}F$ as $n$-tuples of nested isotropic vector spaces $((V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]})$. We recover the usual notion of orthogonal flags because there are exactly two maximal isotropic subspaces between $V_{n-1}$ and its orthogonal $V_{n+1} = (V_{n-1})^{\perp}$.

Let $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a nilpotent element. $N$ is said to be orthogonalizable if there exists a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $\omega$ on $V$ such that $N$ is $\omega$-invariant; that is,

$$\omega(Nv, w) + \omega(v, Nw) = 0.$$  

This means that $N \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, where $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ is the set of elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ leaving $\omega$ invariant. The following easy consequence of the Jacobson–Morozov Theorem can be found, for instance, in [VGO90, Chap. 6, 2.3].

**Proposition 3.1.** A nilpotent element $N$ is orthogonalizable if in the corresponding partition $Y(N)$ each even term occurs with even multiplicity (such partitions will be called admissible).

**Definition 3.2.** Given a nilpotent element $N \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, we define a Springer fiber of type $D$ in the usual way: namely, as the set of all orthogonal flags stabilized by $N$:

$$\mathcal{O}F_N = \{((V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}) \in \mathcal{O}F \mid N(V_i) \subset V_{i-1} \text{ for } i \in [0,n-1] \text{ and } N(V_{n-1}^{\perp}) \subset V_{n-1}\}.$$  

Remark that the orthogonalizability condition on $N$ implies that $N(V_i^{\perp}) \subset V_{i+1}$ for $i \leq n-2$. A description of irreducible components of Springer fibers in types $B$, $C$, and $D$ was given in M. van Leeuwen’s Ph.D. thesis [vLe89]. We briefly recall this description here for the type $D$.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $\lambda$ be a Young diagram with $2n$ boxes. A map $\tau$ from the boxes of $\lambda$ to $[1,n]$ is called a standard domino tableau, if the following conditions hold:

(i) For each $i$, the pre-image $\tau^{-1}(i)$ consists exactly of two adjacent boxes (adjacent either by horizontal or by vertical);

(ii) For each $i$, the set of boxes $\lambda^{(i)}(N) := \tau^{-1}([i+1,n])$ corresponding to the numbers greater than $i$ forms a Young diagram.

Moreover, a standard domino tableau is said to be admissible, if all the diagrams $\lambda^{(i)}(N)$ are admissible (in the sense of Prop. 3.1).

We will think of the pair of boxes $\tau^{-1}(i)$ as of a domino tile indexed by the number $i$. Each of these tiles can be either horizontal or vertical.

**Example 3.4.** Let $\lambda = (3,3)$. Then there are three standard domino tableaux of shape $\lambda$ (see below), but only the first two of them are admissible. Indeed, for the third diagram $\tau^{-1}(3)$ corresponds to the Young diagram with one row of length 2, which is not admissible.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 1 \\
3 & 2 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 3 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

**Definition 3.5.** Let $\tau$ be an admissible standard domino tableau of shape $\lambda(N)$. We assign to it a subset $X_\tau$ of the Springer fiber $\mathcal{O}F_N$ obtained as the closure of the set $X_\tau^0$ of flags $(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}$ in $\mathcal{O}F_N$ such that $N|_{V_i^{\perp}/V_i}$ corresponds to the partition $\tau^{-1}([i+1,n])$ for each $i < n$.

By definition of $\mathcal{O}F_N$, $N$ is well defined on $V_i^{\perp}/V_i = V_{2n-i}/V_i$, so this makes sense.

The following theorem is due to M. van Leeuwen [vLe89, Sec. 3.2].

**Theorem 3.6.** $X_\tau$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{O}F_N$; all its irreducible components are obtained in this way. In particular, there is a bijection between the admissible standard domino tableaux of shape $\lambda(N)$ and the irreducible components of $\mathcal{O}F_N$. 
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3.2 Description of components in the two columns case

Throughout this subsection we fix a nilpotent element $N \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$ such that $N^2 = 0$, and an admissible standard domino tableau $\tau$ of shape $\lambda(N)$. We begin with the following combinatorial observation.

Proposition 3.7. The Young diagram $\lambda(N)$ has at most two columns. Each admissible standard domino tableau of shape $\lambda(N)$ contains only vertical tiles.

Note that in particular, the rank of $N$ has to be even. Let $\text{rk}(N) = 2r$, and let $(p_i)_{i\in[1,r]}$ be the numbers of domino tiles forming the second column of the diagram $Y(N)$. We formally set $p_0 = 0$, $p_{r+1} = 2n + 1$.

Now we endow the subspace $\text{Im}N$ with a bilinear form $\alpha$ as follows. For $u, v \in \text{Im}N$,

$$\alpha(u,v) = \omega(u,v'),$$

where $v' \in N^{-1}(v)$.

Proposition 3.8. The form $\alpha$ is a skew-symmetric nondegenerate form on $\text{Im}N$.

Proof. We readily see that $\alpha$ is well-defined. To show that it is skew-symmetric, take two vectors $u, v \in \text{Im}N$ along with their preimages $u' \in N^{-1}(u), v' \in N^{-1}(v)$. Then

$$\alpha(u,v) = \omega(N(u'),v') = -\omega(u',N(v')) = -\omega(N(v'),u') = -\alpha(v,u).$$

The non-degeneracy of $\alpha$ is also obvious. □

Remark 3.9. This is a particular case of the construction of a family of nondegenerate bilinear forms on $(\text{Ker}N \cap \text{Im}N^i)/(\text{Ker}N \cap \text{Im}N^{i+1})$, which works for arbitrary nilpotent $N \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$. See [vLe89, Section 2.3] for details.

We shall denote by $\langle,\rangle$ the orthogonality relation for the form $\alpha$. We consider the symplectic flag variety $\text{SpF}(\text{Im}N)$, defined as follows:

$$\text{SpF}(\text{Im}N) = \{(0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2r} = \text{Im}N) \mid F_{2r-k} = F_k^\perp \}.$$

Similarly as for $\text{O}\mathcal{F}$, an element of $\text{SpF}$ can also be seen as a sequence $(F_k)_{k\in[0,r]}$ of nested $\alpha$-isotropic subspaces.

As in the type $A$ case (see Corollary 2.5), the description of irreducible components can be reformulated as follows.

Proposition 3.10. (i) Let $f = (V_i)_{i\in[0,n-1]} \in \text{O}\mathcal{F}_N$ be an orthogonal $N$-stable flag. There is a unique partial flag $(U_i)_{i\in[0,n-1]}$ of $\text{Im}N$ such that for all $i$, we have $U_i \subset V_i \cap N(U_i^\perp)$, with $U_i$ maximal with this property. Moreover, $U_i$ is given by $U_i = V_i \cap N(U_i^\perp)$ for all $i$.

(ii) The subspaces $U_i$ are $\alpha$-isotropic.

(iii) $f \in X^+_\alpha$ if and only if $\dim U_i = \#(\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\} \cap [1,i])$. In that case we have the equality $U_i = \sum_{j=0}^i V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp)$.

Note that the indices $i$ do not correspond to the dimension of $U_i$ and that some of these subspaces may coincide.

Proof. (i) Let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. (i) A subspace $W \subset V_i$ is maximal for the property $W \subset N(W^\perp)$ if and only if it satisfies $W_i = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$.

(ii) If $W' \subset W$ are maximal such that $W' \subset V_{i-1} \cap N(W'^\perp)$ and $W \subset V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$, then $\dim W \in \{\dim W', \dim W' + 1\}$. 

7
Lemma 3.12. Let $U = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$, we have $W \subset U$ thus $U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp)$ and $W = U$ by maximality. Conversely, if $W = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$ and if we have $W \subset U$ with $U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp)$, then we have the inclusions $W \subset U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp) \subset V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$. We thus have equalities for all these inclusions.

Proof. (i) If $W$ is maximal, let $U = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$, we have $W \subset U$ thus $U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp)$ and $W = U$ by maximality. Conversely, if $W = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$ and if we have $W \subset U$ with $U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp)$, then we have the inclusions $W \subset U \subset V_i \cap N(U^\perp) \subset V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$. We thus have equalities for all these inclusions.

(ii) By (i), we have the equalities $W' = V_{i-1} \cap N(W'^\perp)$ and $W = V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$. We thus have the inclusion $W \subset V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$ and $W' \subset V_{i-1} \cap N(W'^\perp)$ is of codimension at most one in $V_i \cap N(W^\perp)$. Thus its codimension in $W$ is also at most one. □

We define the subspaces $U_i$ inductively by the rule $U_0 = 0$ and $U_i = V_i \cap N(U_{i-1}^\perp)$. Let us check by induction that they are maximal so suppose that $U_{i-1} = V_{i-1} \cap N(U_{i-1}^\perp)$. Either $U_i = U_{i-1}$, and the maximality of $U_i = V_i \cap N(U_i^\perp)$ follows from Lemma 3.11 (i). Or $U_i = U_{i-1} \oplus \langle x \rangle$. Let $y \in U_{i-1}$ with $N(y) = x$. We have the equalities $\omega(x,y) = \omega(N(y),y) = -\omega(y,N(y)) = -\omega(N(y),y) = -\omega(x,y) = 0$. So $y \in U_i^\perp$ and we have the inclusion $U_i \subset N(U_i^\perp)$, and $U_i$ is maximal by Lemma 3.11 (ii). The existence of such a flag is shown.

Let $(U_i')_{i \in [0,n-1]}$ be another partial flag satisfying the same property. We prove by induction that they coincide. Assume that $U'_{i-1} = U_{i-1}$, we see that $U_i' \subset V_i \cap N(U_i'^\perp) \subset V_i \cap N(U_i^\perp) = V_i \cap N(U_{i-1}^\perp) = U_i$. Whence the uniqueness by maximality.

(iii) We have the inclusion $U_i \subset V_i \cap N(U_i^\perp)$, so in particular $U_i \subset N(U_i^\perp) = U_i^\perp$, and all $U_i$ are $\alpha$-isotropic. Note in particular that $\dim U_i \leq r$ for all $i$.

Let us first prove one more lemma.

Lemma 3.12. Let $(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in X^0_r$, we have the equalities:

$$\dim \sum_{j=0}^{p_k} V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp) = \dim \sum_{j=0}^{p_k-1} (V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp)) + 1 \text{ for all } k \in [1,r] \text{ and }$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp)) \text{ for all } k \notin \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}.$$ 

Proof. Let us consider the following inclusions:

$$N(V_j^\perp) \subset N(V_{j-1}^\perp) \quad \text{ with } \quad V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp) \quad \text{ and } \quad V_{j-1} \cap N(V_{j-1}^\perp).$$

If $j$ is in the interval $[p_k, p_{k+1})$, then the left vertical inclusion is a codimension $2r - 2k$ inclusion. The right vertical inclusion is of the same codimension except for $j = p_k$ in which case it is of codimension $2r - 2k + 2$. All the other inclusions are of codimension at most one.

Assume first that $j = p_k$, then $V_{p_k} \cap N(V_{p_k}^\perp)$ has to be of dimension one more than $V_{p_k-1} \cap N(V_{p_k-1}^\perp)$, thus we have $V_{p_k-1} \cap N(V_{p_k}) = V_{p_k-1} \cap N(V_{p_k}^\perp)$ and $V_{p_k} \cap N(V_{p_k}^\perp) = V_{p_k-1} \cap N(V_{p_k}^\perp) \oplus \langle x \rangle$ with $x$ in $V_{p_k}$ but not in $V_{p_k-1}$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p_k} V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp) = \sum_{j=0}^{p_k-1} (V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp)) \oplus \langle x \rangle.$$
and the result follows in this case. If \( j = k \notin \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\} \), we distinguish between the two cases. If the top horizontal inclusion is an equality, then we also have the equality \( V_{k-1} \cap N(V_{k+1}) = V_{k-1} \cap N(V_{k-1}) \) and by dimension count \( V_k \cap N(V_{k+1}) = V_{k-1} \cap N(V_{k-1}) \). If not, then \( V_k \cap N(V_{k+1}) \) has to be of dimension one less than \( V_{k-1} \cap N(V_{k-1}) \) thus we have \( V_k \cap N(V_{k+1}) = V_k \cap N(V_{k-1}) \subset V_{k-1} \cap N(V_{k-1}) \). In any case the result follows. \( \square \)

Let us finish the proof. Since \( V_j \cap N(V_{j+1}) \subset V_j \cap N(U_{j+1}) = U_j \), we get the inclusion \( \sum_{j=0}^{i}(V_j \cap N(V_{j+1})) \subset U_i \) for all \( i \). Note also that for \( j = p_k \), we have \( \sum_{j=0}^{p_k-1} V_j \cap N(V_{j+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p_k-1}(V_j \cap N(V_{j+1})) \neq x \) with \( x \not\in V_{p_k-1} \) therefore \( x \not\in U_{p_k-1} \) and \( \dim U_{p_k} > \dim U_{p_k-1} \). We thus have by the previous fact and this observation the following inequalities and equalities:

\[
\dim U_i \geq k \text{ and } \dim \sum_{j=0}^{i} V_j \cap N(V_{j+1}) = k \text{ for } i \in [p_k, p_k+1).
\]

But \( U_{n-1} \) being \( \alpha \)-isotropic, we have \( \dim U_{n-1} \leq r \) therefore we necessarily have the equality \( \sum_{j=0}^{i} V_j \cap N(V_{j+1}) = U_i \), and (ii) also follows. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.13.** Any complete flag \((V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \) in the Springer fiber belongs to some \( X^0_\tau \) for some admissible standard tableau \( \tau \). In particular, for any such complete flag, we may assign a Lagrangian subspace in \( \text{Im}N \) for the skew form \( \alpha \), namely, \( U_{n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} V_j \cap N(V_{j+1}). \)

**Corollary 3.14.** For \( f = (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in X^0_\tau \subset \mathcal{O}_N \), the flag \( 0 \subset U_{p_1} \subset \cdots \subset U_{p_r} \subset \text{Im}N \) belongs to \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(\text{Im}N) \).

### 3.3 Birational transformation of the Springer fiber

In this subsection we construct a birational transformation of a given irreducible component \( X = X_\tau \), analogous to the one described in Section 2.3. We define it as follows:

\[
\tilde{X} = \{((F_k)_{k \in [0,r]}, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}) \subset \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(\text{Im}N) \times \mathcal{O}_N \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k} \subset N^{-1}(F_{k-1}) \ \forall k \in [1, r]\}. \tag{1}
\]

Let us remark that for a flag \((F_k)_{k \in [0,r]} \) in \( \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(\text{Im}N) \), we may consider the partial flag

\[
F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_{2r} = \text{Im}N \subset \text{Ker}N = N^{-1}(F_0) \subset N^{-1}(F_1) \subset \cdots \subset N^{-1}(F_{2r}),
\]

where \( F_j := F_{2r-j} \) for \( j > r \), and since \((F_k)_{k \in [0,r]} \) is isotropic for the form \( \alpha \), we have \( F_k = N^{-1}(F_{k-1}) \). Therefore the above partial flag is isotropic for the quadratic form \( \omega \) and we may complete it to an isotropic complete flag. As for the type \( A \), denote the two natural projections by \( p_X : \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(\text{Im}N) \) and \( q_X : \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{O}_N \) respectively.

**Proposition 3.15.** (i) The map \( q_X \) is dominant and a locally trivial fibration with fiber isomorphic to the following Schubert variety:

\[
\mathcal{O}_w = \{((V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in \mathcal{O}_N \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k} \subset N^{-1}(F_{k-1}) \ \forall k \in [1, r]\};
\]

(ii) The map \( p_X \) is birational onto \( X \).

**Proof.** (i) This is clear from the definition of \( \tilde{X} \).

(ii) Let \( f = (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in X^0_\tau \). With notation as in Prop. 3.10, we may define the subspaces \( F_i \) by the condition \( F_i = U_{p_i} \). We have \( U_{p_k} = V_{p_k} \cap N(U_{p_k}) \) thus \( U_{p_k} \subset V_{p_k} \). Furthermore,
we have $N(V_{p_k}) \subset V_{p_k-1}$ and because of the inclusions $V_{p_k} \subset V_{p_k}^\perp \subset V_{p_k-1}^\perp \subset U_{p_k-1}^\perp$, we get $N(V_{p_k}) \subset N(U_{p_k-1}^\perp)$. Therefore we have the inclusions $N(V_{p_k}) \subset V_{p_k-1} \cap N(U_{p_k-1}^\perp) = U_{p_k-1}$ thus $V_{p_k} \subset N^{-1}(U_{p_k-1}) = N^{-1}(U_{p_k-1})$. The flag $(F_i)_{i \in [0,r]}$ therefore satisfies the conditions (1). So the map $p_X$ is bijective over the dense subset $X^0 \subset X$, and hence it is birational. □

3.4 A Schubert-like variety containing $X$

As in type $A$, let us consider the following subvariety of $\text{Sp}^\Omega(\text{Im}N) \times \text{OF}$ containing $\hat{X}$:

$$\hat{Y} = \{(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]}, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in \text{Sp}^\Omega(\text{Im}N) \times \text{OF} \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k}, \forall k \in [1,r]\}.$$ 

We shall also consider projection but with a slight modification. Let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N)$ be the variety of all Lagrangian subspaces of $\text{Im}N$ for the form $\alpha$ (i.e. isotropic subspaces for the form $\alpha$ of maximal dimension $r$). We define the projections $q_Y : \hat{Y} \to \text{Sp}^\Omega(\text{Im}N)$ and $p_Y : \hat{Y} \to \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \times \text{OF}$

**Proposition 3.16.** (i) The map $q_Y$ is dominant and is a locally trivial fibration with fiber over $(F_k)_{k \in [0,r]}$ isomorphic to the following Schubert variety

$$\text{OF}_v = \{(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in \text{OF} \mid F_k \subset V_{p_k}, \forall k \in [1,r]\}.$$ 

(ii) The map $p_Y$ is birational onto the variety

$$Y = \{(L, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}) \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \times \text{OF} \mid \dim(L \cap V_{p_k}) \geq k, \forall k \in [1,r]\}$$

which is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N)$ with fiber over $L \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N)$ being the Schubert variety

$$Y_L = \{(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \in \text{OF} \mid \dim(L \cap V_{p_k}) \geq k, \forall k \in [1,r]\}.$$ 

**Proof.** (i) The first part is clear from the definition of $\hat{Y}$.

(ii) The last assertion is also clear from the description of $Y$ so we only need to prove that $Y$ is the image of $p_Y$. The image of $p_Y$ is contained in $Y$. Conversely, let $(L, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n]})$ be general in $Y$. Then we have the equalities $\dim(L \cap V_{p_k}) = k$ for all $k \in [0,r]$. We may therefore set $F_k = L \cap V_{p_k}$ for $k \in [0,r]$. The point $((F_k)_{k \in [0,r]}, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]})$ is in the fiber of $p_Y$ over $(L, (V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}).$ □

**Remark 3.17.** The variety $Y$ is normal with rational singularities as a locally trivial fibration with smooth base and fibers which are normal with rational singularities (Schubert varieties).

**Proposition 3.18.** There is a closed immersion of $X$ in $Y$.

**Proof.** Recall from Remark 3.13 that for any element $(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}$ in $X_\tau$, the vector space $U_{n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} V_j \cap N(V_j^\perp)$ lies in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N)$. Furthermore, for $(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}$ in $X^0$, we have $\dim(V_{p_k} \cap U_{n-1}) = \dim(U_{p_k}) = k$ for all $k \in [0,r]$. Therefore the map $X \to Y$ defined by $(V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]} \mapsto ((V_i)_{i \in [0,n-1]}, L)$ with $L = U_{n-1}$ is a closed immersion. □
4 Frobenius splitting

In this section, we assume $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = p > 0$, we shall intensively use the results from the book [BK05]. We refer to this book for the notions of Frobenius splitting of a scheme $X$ and $B'$-canonical splitting of a scheme $X$ with an action of a Borel subgroup $B'$ of a reductive group $G'$.

We will now deal with type $A$ and type $D$ simultaneously and keep the notation of the previous two sections. In particular $X$ will denote a fixed irreducible component of the Springer fiber with two columns in type $A$ or $D$. To simplify the notation we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_w$ both the Schubert variety $\mathcal{F}_w$ in type $A$ and the Schubert variety $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{F}_w$ in type $D$. We denote by $n$ the number $n$ in type $A$ and $n - 1$ in type $D$.

4.1 Bott–Samelson resolutions

We will use Bott–Samelson resolutions of the Schubert varieties $\mathcal{F}_w$ and $\mathcal{F}_v$ to construct resolutions of $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ and thus of $X$ and $Y$. Let us fix some notation and recall some basic facts on Bott-Samelson resolutions (for details we refer to [Dem74] or [BK05]).

Recall that the Schubert varieties in $\mathcal{F}$ are indexed by the elements $u$ of the Weyl group $W$. The inclusion of Schubert varieties induces an order on $W$ called the Bruhat order. Any element $u \in W$ can be written as a product $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ where $s_{i_k}$ is the simple reflection with respect to the simple root $\alpha_{i_k}$ (we shall use the notation of N. Bourbaki here [Bou54]). An expression of minimal length is called reduced, and its length $k$ is called the length of $u$. Let us also denote, for $\alpha$ a simple root, by $\mathbb{G}(\alpha)$, respectively $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$, the Grassmannian (classical in type $A$, orthogonal in type $D$) associated to $\alpha$, respectively the partial flag variety of all subspaces except those in $\mathbb{G}(\alpha)$. Denote by $p_{\alpha}$ the projection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ and by $q_{\alpha}$ the projection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\alpha)$. The fiber of $p_{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $F_s$ be a fixed complete flag (classical in type $A$, orthogonal in type $D$), and let $u = (\alpha_{i_1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_k})$ be a sequence of simple roots. We construct a variety $\mathcal{F}_u$ from these data. For this we consider the following elementary construction.

**Elementary construction 4.1.** Having a simple root $\alpha$, we first define a variety

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}, W) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{G}(\alpha) \mid W \in q_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha}^{-1}(p_{\alpha}((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}))))\}.$$ 

There are two natural maps $\varphi_\alpha$ and $\psi_\alpha$ from $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{F}$ defined by $\varphi_\alpha((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}, W) = (V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}$ and $\psi_\alpha((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}, W) = (p_{\alpha}((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}), W)$. Remark that there is a natural section $\sigma_\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ of $\varphi_\alpha$ given by $((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]} \mapsto ((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]}, q_{\alpha}((V_i)_{i \in [0, 2]})))$.

Let $p_Z : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a morphism. We define the variety $Z_\alpha$ as the fiber product

$$Z_\alpha = Z \times_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_\alpha \longrightarrow Z \downarrow_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$ 

We denote the projection $Z_\alpha \rightarrow Z$ by $f_{Z_\alpha}$. The section $\sigma_\alpha$ induces a section $\sigma_{Z_\alpha}$ of $f_{Z_\alpha}$. We define the map $p_{Z_\alpha} : Z_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ as the composition of the projection $Z_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ with $\psi_\alpha$.

The Bott–Samelson variety $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_u$ is constructed from the sequence of roots $u$ and the point $F_s$ in $\mathcal{F}$. Indeed, we set $Z_0 = \{F_s\}$ with the map $p_{Z_0} : Z_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by the inclusion and we define $Z_1 = (Z_0)_{\alpha_{i_1}}$ obtained by the elementary construction from $p_{Z_0}$ and $\alpha_{i_1}$. We define by
induction \( Z_{j+1} = (Z_j)_{\alpha_{i_{j+1}}} \) obtained by the elementary construction from \( p_{Z_j} \) and \( \alpha_{i_{j+1}} \). By definition, the Bott–Samelson variety \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \) is \( Z_k \). The map \( f_{Z_j} : Z_j \to Z_{j-1} \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle for all \( j \) and therefore \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \) is smooth. The sections \( \sigma_{Z_j} \) define divisors \( D_j = f_{Z_k}^{-1} \cdots f_{Z_{j+1}}^{-1} \sigma_{Z_j}(Z_{j-1}) \). These divisors intersect transversally, and we define

\[
D_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} D_j
\]

for \( J \subset [1, k] \). For such a subset \( J \) of \([1, k] \) we can consider the subword \( \mathbf{u}_J = (\alpha_{i_j})_{j \notin J} \) and there is a natural isomorphism \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{u}_J} \simeq D_J \). We will therefore consider the Bott–Samelson varieties \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{u}} \) for any subword \( \mathbf{u}' \) of \( \mathbf{u} \) as subvarieties of the Bott–Samelson variety \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \). We shall denote the union of the divisors \( D_j \) for \( j \in [1, k] \) by \( \partial \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \).

Recall that by the construction, there is a map \( p_{\mathbf{u}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \to \mathcal{F} \). If \( \mathbf{u} \) is a reduced expression for an element \( u \) of the Weyl group \( W \), the natural map \( p_{\mathbf{u}} \) is birational onto \( \mathcal{F}_u \) yielding a resolution of the Schubert variety \( \mathcal{F}_u \).

**Remark 4.2.** The choice of a Bott–Samelson resolution \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_u \) for \( \mathcal{F}_u \) depends on the choice of a reduced expression for \( u \). Recall from [Dem74] that since \( \mathcal{F}_w \) is a Schubert subvariety of \( \mathcal{F}_u \), we may choose a reduced expression \( \mathbf{v} = (\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \cdots \alpha_{i_l}) \) for \( \mathbf{v} \) such that \( \mathbf{w} = (\alpha_{i_k} \cdots \alpha_{i_l}) \) is a reduced expression for \( \mathbf{w} \). In particular in the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{w}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{v}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{w}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}
\end{array}
\]

the vertical maps are birational and thus simultaneous resolutions of singularities. We choose such a reduced expression \( \mathbf{v} \) for \( \mathbf{v} \) to construct \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \) and thus \( \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_w \).

### 4.2 Resolutions of \( X \) and \( Y \)

#### 4.2.1 Two groups

In this subsection, we will need to distinguish between the type \( A \) and type \( D \) cases.

In type \( A \), set \( G = \text{SL}(\text{Im}N) \) and \( G' = \text{SL}(\mathbb{K}^n) \). We embed \( G \) in \( G' \) as follows. Choose a complement \( E_1 \) for \( \text{Im}N \) in \( \text{Ker}N \) and a complement \( E_2 \) for \( \text{Ker}N \) in \( \mathbb{K}^n \). We consider the subgroup \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) of \( G' \) defined by:

\[
\mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{ f \in G' \middle| f \text{ stabilizes } \text{Im}N, \text{Ker}N, \text{ and } E_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \det(f|_{\text{Im}N}) = \det(f|_{E_1}) = 1, \text{ and } f|_{E_1} = \text{id}_{E_1} \right\}.
\]

This group is isomorphic to the product \( \text{SL}(\text{Im}N) \times \text{SL}(E_2) \). Furthermore, observe that \( E_2 \) is identified with \( \mathbb{K}^n/\text{Ker}N \) and with \( \text{Im}N \) via \( N \). The group \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) is thus isomorphic to \( G \times G \); let us embed \( G \) into \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) diagonally. For any Borel subgroup \( B \) of \( G \), we may find a Borel subgroup \( B' \) of \( G' \) such that \( B \subset B' \) in this embedding. We may thus consider the variety \( Z_0 = G/B \) as a subvariety of \( \mathcal{G}_0/B_0 \) and also of \( G'/B' = \mathcal{F} \). We thus have a map \( p_{Z_0} : Z_0 \to \mathcal{F} \).

In type \( D \), set \( G = \text{Sp}(\text{Im}N) \) (recall that we have a non degenerate skew form \( \alpha \) on \( \text{Im}N \)) and \( G' = \text{SO}(\mathbb{K}^{2n}) \). We embed \( G \) in \( G' \) as follows. Choose an orthogonal complement \( E_1 \) for \( \text{Im}N \) in \( \text{Ker}N \) and an isotropic subspace \( E_2 \) in \( \mathbb{K}^n \) mapping bijectively to \( \mathbb{K}^{2n}/\text{Ker}N \). We consider the subgroup \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) of \( G' \) defined by:

\[
\mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{ f \in G' \middle| f \text{ stabilizes } \text{Im}N, \text{Ker}N, \text{ and } E_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \det(f|_{\text{Im}N}) = \det(f|_{E_1}) = 1, \text{ and } f|_{E_1} = \text{id}_{E_1} \right\}.
\]
remark that here the conditions \( f(\text{Ker}N) \subset \text{Ker}N \) and \( \det(f|_{E_0}) = 1 \) are redundant. This group is isomorphic to \( \text{SL}(\text{Im}N) \). The group \( G \) embeds into \( G_0 \). For any Borel subgroup \( B \) of \( G \), we may find a Borel subgroup \( B' \) of \( G' \) such that \( B \subset B' \) in this embedding. We may thus consider the variety \( Z_0 = G/B \) as a subvariety of \( G_0/B_0 \) and also of \( G'/B' = \mathcal{F} \). We thus have a map \( p_{Z_0} : Z_0 \to \mathcal{F} \).

### 4.2.2 Resolutions

We again deal with types \( A \) and \( D \) simultaneously.

Let us take a sequence of simple roots \( u = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}) \) and apply the same construction as for the Bott–Samelson variety \( \mathcal{F}_u \), but starting with \( Z_0 = G/B \). We get a variety \( \tilde{X}_u \) together with a morphism \( p_{\tilde{X}_u} : \tilde{X}_u \to \mathcal{F} \). This variety can also be seen as the homogeneous fiber bundle \( \tilde{X}_u = G \times^B \mathcal{F}_u \) where the action of \( B \) on \( \mathcal{F}_u \) is induced by the inclusion \( B \subset B' \) and the natural action of \( B' \) on \( \mathcal{F}_u \). For any subword \( u' \) of \( u \), the variety \( \tilde{X}_{u'} \) can again be realized as a complete intersection in \( \tilde{X}_u \). In particular we have the same description of divisors \( G \times^B D_j \) for \( j \in [1, k] \) on \( \tilde{X}_u \) as on \( \mathcal{F}_u \). We shall denote the union of these divisors by \( \partial \tilde{X}_u \). Finally, we have a natural map \( p_{\tilde{X}_u} : \tilde{X}_u \to \mathcal{F} \).

Using the reduced expression \( v \) of \( v \) defined in Remark 4.2, we obtain a variety \( \tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}_v \) and a subvariety \( \tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_w \) of \( \tilde{Y} \). We have natural maps \( p_{\tilde{X}_v} \) (resp. \( p_{\tilde{X}_w} \)) from \( \tilde{Y} \) (resp. \( \tilde{X} \)) to \( \mathcal{F} \). Since the maps \( p_{\mathcal{F}_v} \) and \( p_{\mathcal{F}_w} \) are \( B' \)-equivariant and thus \( B \)-equivariant, we get a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{p_{\tilde{X}}} & \tilde{Y} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{F} & & \mathcal{F}
\end{array}
\]

where the morphisms \( G \times^B \mathcal{F}_v \to \mathcal{F} \) and \( G \times^B \mathcal{F}_w \to \mathcal{F} \) are given by \((g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x\). The maps \( p_{\tilde{Y}} \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}} \) are the vertical compositions in the above diagram. We also have the projection maps \( q_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to G/B \) and \( q_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \to G/B \).

We must again make a distinction between type \( A \) and type \( D \) cases. Let us define \( \mathcal{F}' \) to be \( \mathcal{F} \) in type \( A \) and \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \times \mathcal{O} \mathcal{F} \) in type \( D \). There are natural maps \( p_{\tilde{Y}} \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}} \) from \( \tilde{Y} \) and \( \tilde{X} \) to \( \mathcal{F}' \). In type \( A \) they are simply defined by \( p_{\tilde{Y}} = p_{\tilde{X}_v} \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}} = p_{\tilde{X}_w} \). In type \( D \), the variety \( G/B \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Sp}(\text{Im}N) \) and there is a projection \( \text{pr} : G/B \to \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \). We thus have maps \( \tilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \) and \( \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \) defined by the composition of \( q_{\tilde{Y}} \) and \( q_{\tilde{X}} \) with \( \text{pr} \). The maps \( p_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \times \mathcal{F} \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\text{Im}N) \times \mathcal{F} \) are the products of these maps with \( p_{\tilde{X}_v} \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}_w} \).

**Proposition 4.3.** (i) The maps \( q_{\tilde{Y}} \) and \( q_{\tilde{X}} \) are dominant and locally trivial fibrations with fiber over \((F_k)_{k \in [0, r]} \in G/B \) isomorphic to Bott–Samelson varieties \( \mathcal{F}_v \) and \( \mathcal{F}_w \), respectively.

(ii) The maps \( p_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to \mathcal{F}' \) and \( p_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \to \mathcal{F}' \) are birational and dominant onto \( Y \) and \( X \) respectively. Thus they are resolutions of singularities for \( Y \) and \( X \).

**Proof.** The first part is clear from the definition of \( \tilde{Y} \) and \( \tilde{X} \). The second part follows from the birationality of the Bott–Samelson resolutions \( \mathcal{F}_v \to \mathcal{F}_v \) and \( \mathcal{F}_w \to \mathcal{F}_w \), the smoothness of \( \tilde{Y} \) and \( \tilde{X} \), and the first part. \( \square \)
Notation 4.4. For a subword \( u \) of \( v \), we define \( X_u \) to be the subvariety of \( Y \) obtained as the image of \( \tilde{X}_u \) (seen as a subvariety of \( \tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}_v \)) under the map \( p_\varphi \). With this notation \( X = X_v \). The map \( p_\varphi : \tilde{X} \to X \) is the resolution \( \pi \) in Theorem 1.2.

4.3 Existence of a splitting

We have the following

Theorem 4.5. (i) There exists a \( B' \)-canonical splitting of the Bott-Samelson variety \( \tilde{F}_u \) compatibly splitting all Bott-Samelson subvarieties \( \tilde{F}_u \) of \( \tilde{F}_v \) for each subword \( u \) of \( v \).

(ii) This splitting induces a \( B \)-canonical splitting of \( \tilde{Y} \) compatibly splitting all the subvarieties \( \tilde{X}_u \) for \( u \) a subword of \( v \).

(iii) The latter splitting induces a splitting of \( Y \) compatibly splitting all the subvarieties \( X_u \), where \( u \) is a subword of \( v \).

Proof. (i) This is an application of [BK05, Proposition 4.1.17].

We first observe that the \( B' \)-canonical splitting in (i) is a \( B_0 \)-canonical splitting, where \( B_0 = B' \cap G_0 \) and \( G_0 \) was defined in section 4.2. For this, use the following result (see [BK05, Lemma 4.1.6]): let \( H \) be a connected and simply connected semisimple group, let \( H' \) be a Borel subgroup in \( H \), and let \( H'' \) be a maximal torus in \( H \). Let \( X \) be a \( H' \)-scheme and let \( \phi \in \text{Hom}(F_0 O_X, O_X) \), where \( F \) is the Frobenius morphism. Let us denote by \( e_\alpha^{(n)} \) the divided powers, where \( \alpha \) is a root of \( H \). There exists a natural action \( e_\alpha^{(n)} \ast \phi \) of \( e_\alpha^{(n)} \) on \( \phi \) (see [BK05, Definition 4.1.4]).

Lemma 4.6. The element \( \phi \) is a \( H' \)-canonical splitting if and only if \( \phi \) is \( H'' \)-invariant and \( e_\alpha^{(n)} \ast \phi = 0 \) for all \( n \geq p \) and \( \alpha \) a simple root.

In our situation, we easily check that the divided powers of \( G_0 \) are divided powers for \( G' \). In particular the splitting in (i) is a \( B_0 \)-canonical splitting and compatibly splits the varieties \( \tilde{F}_u \).

To prove that the \( B_0 \)-canonical splitting induces a \( B \)-canonical splitting, we use the results of X. He and J.F. Thomsen [HT10]. More precisely we use Theorem 4.1 in that paper with \( \lambda = \rho G_0 \). The hypothesis of that theorem are satisfied by Section 8 in [HT10]. We therefore obtain that \( \tilde{Y} = G \times B \tilde{F}_u \) has a Frobenius splitting which compatibly splits the subvarieties \( G \times B \tilde{F}_u \) for all subwords \( u \) of \( v \).

We thus have a \( B \)-canonical splitting on \( \tilde{F}_v \) compatible with all the divisors \( D_j \) and therefore with all the subvarieties \( \tilde{F}_u \) (by [BK05, Proposition 1.2.1] and the fact that the varieties \( \tilde{F}_u \) are intersections of such divisors. Applying [BK05, Theorem 4.1.17], we get a \( B \)-canonical splitting on \( G \times B \tilde{F}_u = \tilde{Y} \) compatible with all subvarieties \( G \times B \tilde{F}_u = \tilde{X}_u \).

(iii) This is a direct application of Lemma 1.1.8 in [BK05] together with the fact that \( Y \) is normal (in type \( A \) it is a Schubert variety and in type \( D \) see Remark 3.17).

4.4 D-splitting

In this subsection, we prove that the previous splitting is a \( D \)-splitting for an explicit divisor \( D \).

For this we first need to compute the canonical divisor of the variety \( \tilde{Y} \).

Let us first fix some notation. As we have seen, if \( v = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_k}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_l}) \) and if we denote by \( v[j] \) the subword \( (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_j}) \) for \( j \in [1, l] \), then the variety \( \tilde{Y} \) can be realized as a sequence

\[ 2 \text{Note that in type } A, \text{ i.e. for a diagonal embedding, the result of X. He and J.F. Thomsen above was already proved by the same authors in [HT08, Theorem 7.2].} \]

14
of $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibrations $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}_v \to \tilde{X}_{v[-1]} \to \cdots \to \tilde{X}_{v[1]} \to G/B$. For all $j \in [1, l]$, there is a natural map $p_{\tilde{X}_{v[j]}} : \tilde{X}_{v[j]} \to \mathcal{F}$ and if $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(1)$ is the line bundle on $\mathcal{F}$ defined by the Plücker embedding, we define $\mathcal{L}_{v[j]} = p_{\tilde{X}_{v[j]}}^* (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(1))$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{L}_\tilde{Y}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\tilde{X}$ the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_v$ and $\mathcal{L}_w$, respectively.

The following lemma is an easy modification of a well-known result on the canonical divisor of the Bott–Samelson resolution, see for example [BK05, Proposition 2.2.2] or [Kum02, Proposition 8.1.2].

**Lemma 4.7.** We have the equality $\omega_{\tilde{Y}}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_\tilde{Y}(\partial \tilde{Y}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{Y}}$.

**Proof.** We prove the following formula by induction over $j \in [0, l]$:

$$\omega_{\tilde{X}_{v[j]}}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{v[j]}}(\partial \tilde{X}_{v[j+1]}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{v[j]}.$$  

For $j = 0$, we have $\tilde{X}_{v[0]} = G/B$. The line bundle $\omega_{G/B}^{-1}$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{v[0]}$ which is twice the ample line bundle defined by the Plücker embedding of $G/B$, since $G/B$ is diagonally embedded into $\mathcal{F} = G'/B'$. Let us denote the fibration $\tilde{X}_{v[j+1]} \to \tilde{X}_{v[j]}$ by $f$ and its section by $\sigma$. The induction follows from the formula:

$$\omega_{\tilde{X}_{v[j+1]}} = f^* \omega_{\tilde{X}_{v[j]}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{v[j+1]}}(\partial \tilde{X}_{v[j+1]}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{L}_{v[j]} \otimes f^* \sigma^* \mathcal{L}_{v[j]}^{-1},$$

which is a direct application of [Kum02, Lemma A-18] and the fact that for each $j$ the divisor $\mathcal{L}_{v[j]}$ has the relative degree 1 for the fibration $f$. To prove the induction step we also the the equality $\sigma^* \mathcal{L}_{v[j]}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_{v[j]}$. □

**Theorem 4.8.** There exists a $\tilde{D}$-splitting of $\tilde{Y}$ compatibly splitting the subvarieties $\tilde{X}_u$, where $u$ is a subword of $v$ and $\tilde{D}$ is an effective divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_\tilde{Y}(\tilde{D}) = \mathcal{L}_\tilde{Y}^\otimes_{p-1}$.

**Proof.** Recall that in Theorem 4.5 we constructed a splitting $\varphi$ of $\tilde{Y}$ compatibly splitting the subvarieties $\tilde{X}_u$ for a subword $u$ of $v$. In particular, it is compatible with each of the divisors $\tilde{X}_{v[j]}$ for $j \in [1, l]$, where $v(j) = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_j}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_l})$. By [BK05, Theorem 1.4.10], the splitting $\varphi$ provides a $(p-1) \tilde{X}_{v[j]}$-splitting for all $j \in [1, l]$. We may thus write

$$\text{div}(\varphi) = (p-1) \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{X}_{v[j]} + \tilde{D} = \partial \tilde{Y} + \tilde{D}$$

with $\mathcal{O}_\tilde{Y}(\tilde{D}) = \mathcal{L}_\tilde{Y}^\otimes_{p-1}$ (compare with Lemma 4.7). But again by [BK05, Theorem 1.4.10], the splitting $\varphi$ is a div$(\varphi)$-splitting. Now using [BK05, Remark 1.4.2 (ii)] we get that $\varphi$ is a $\tilde{D}$-splitting. Note that by [BK05, Theorem 1.3.8], the multiplicity of a divisor in the subscheme of zeros of the splitting (here in div($\varphi$)) is at most $p-1$ thus $\tilde{D}$ has a support disjoint from any of the divisors $\tilde{X}_{v[j]}$. The divisors $\tilde{X}_{v[j]}$ are therefore $\tilde{D}$-compatibly split.

Finally, the result follows from the fact that for any subword $u$ of $v$ the variety $\tilde{X}_u$ is the intersection of certain divisors $\tilde{X}_{v[j]}$. □

**Corollary 4.9.** There exists a $D$-splitting of $Y$ compatibly splitting all the subvarieties $X_u$ for a subword $u$ of $v$ for an effective divisor $D$ such that $\mathcal{O}_Y(D) = (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F}(1)|_Y)^\otimes_{p-1}$. 
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Proof. This is a direct application of [BK05, Lemma 1.4.5] to the map $p_Y : \tilde{Y} \to Y$. Indeed, in the previous Theorem, the divisor $\tilde{D}$ is the pullback by $p_Y$ of a divisor $D$ with the above property. We may apply Lemma 1.4.5 in [BK05] because $Y$ is normal and the conclusion follows for the splitting of the varieties $X$ because these varieties are the images of the varieties $X_u$ under $p_Y$. \hfill \square

Remark 4.10. The divisor $D$ may not be ample on $Y$ but its restriction to $X$ is ample as $X$ is a subvariety of $\mathcal{F}$.

5 Normality

In this section we prove the results stated in the introduction. The proof will be similar to the proof of the same results for Schubert varieties as given in the book [BK05]. In order to pass from positive characteristic to characteristic zero, we shall use the results in [BK05, Section 1.6].

For this we need to realize the Springer fiber over $Z$ from positive characteristic to characteristic zero, we shall use the results in [BK05, Section 1.6]. In order to pass in the normal Jordan form in its $GL(\mathbb{K}^{n})$-orbit.

To simplify notation also also because the variety $Y = X_0$ and some of the varieties $X$ for $u$ a subword of $v$ are not contained in $\mathcal{F}$ in type $D$ (but in $\mathcal{F}'$), we will only consider in this section the varieties $X$ for $u$ a subword of $w$. These varieties are subvarieties of $X = X_w$ and can therefore be considered as subvarieties of $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, the restriction of the above divisor $D$ is ample on these varieties.

5.1 Some preliminary results

We prove the normality of all the varieties $X_{w[j]}$ for $j \in [0, l - k]$ by induction over $j$. For this we need a more precise description of the geometry relating $X_{w[j]}$ and $X_{w[j+1]}$. Recall the construction of the variety $X_{w[j]}$ from $X_{w[j+1]}$ by the elementary construction 4.1 as the fiber product $X_{w[j+1]} = X_{w[j]} \times_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{i_j+1}}} X_{w[j+1]}$. For a subvariety $Z$ in $\mathcal{F}$ we denote by $Z_{i_j+1}$ its image under the projection $p_{\alpha_{i_j+1}} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}(\alpha_{i_j+1})$ (see Subsection 4.1). We have the equality $X_{w[j+1]} = X_{w[j+1]}^{i_j+1} \times_{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{i_j+1})} \mathcal{F}$, so we obtain the following commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X}_{w[j+1]} = \tilde{X}_{w[j]} \times_{\mathcal{F} \alpha_{i_j+1}} \tilde{X}_{w[j]} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} & \tilde{X}_{w[j]} \\
\downarrow b' & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}} \\
X'_{w[j+1]} := \tilde{X}_{w[j]} \times_{\mathcal{F} \alpha_{i_j+1}} \tilde{X}_{w[j]} & \xrightarrow{a'} & X_{w[j]} \\
\downarrow b & & \downarrow p \\
X_{w[j]} = X_{w[j]}^{i_j+1} \times_{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{i_j+1})} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{a} & X_{w[j]}^{i_j+1} \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{w[j]} \\
X_{w[j+1]}^{i_j+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{w[j+1]}} & X_{w[j+1]}^{i_j+1} 
\end{array} \]

Lemma 5.1. With the above notation,

(i) the map $p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}} : \tilde{X}_{w[j]} \to X_{w[j]}$ is birational for all $j \in [0, l]$;

(ii) the map $p : X_{w[j]} \to X_{w[j]}^{i_j+1}$ is birational for all $j \in [0, l - 1]$;
(ii) we have the equality $X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1} = X_{m[j]+1}^{i,j+1}$ for all $j \in [0, l - 1]$.

Proof. (i) We prove this by descending induction on $j$. For $j = l - k$ the corresponding map is $p_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \to X$, which is birational by Proposition 4.3.

Assume that $p_{\tilde{X}_{m[j]+1}}$ is birational. This map is the composition of the top two left vertical arrows $b$ and $b'$ in the previous diagram. In particular these two maps $b$ and $b'$ are also birational. But the topmost right vertical arrow in the above diagram gives $b'$ by fiber product. This map is $p_{\tilde{X}_{m[j]}}$ and has to be birational.

(ii) By what we just proved the map $b$ is birational. But it is a fiber product of the map $p : X_{m[j]} \to X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ which has to be birational.

(iii) Recall that we have two maps $\varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ and $\psi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ from $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ obtained by forgetting one of the two subspaces corresponding to points in $\mathbb{G}(\alpha_{i,j+1})$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$. The map $a'$ corresponds to $\varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ while $b$ corresponds to $\psi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$. The composition of the two forgetful maps $\varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ and $\psi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ yield a map $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_{i,j+1}} \to \mathcal{F}_{i,j+1}$. The maps $p \circ a'$ and $p \circ b$ correspond by fiber product to the maps $\psi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}} \circ \varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}} \circ \varphi_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}$, respectively. In particular these two maps are equal and the result follows. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove by ascending induction over $j$ that $X_{m[j]}$ is normal. For $j = 0$, we have $X_{m[0]} \simeq G/B$, which is normal. Let $j > 0$ and assume that $X_{m[j]}$ is normal. The map $a : X_{m[j+1]} \to X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration. Thus to prove the normality of $X_{m[j+1]}$ we only need to prove the normality of $X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$. But the map $p : X_{m[j]} \to X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ is birational and surjective (Lemma 5.1 (ii)), and $X_{m[j]}$ is normal by the induction hypothesis, so we only need to prove the equality

$$p_\ast \mathcal{O}_{X_{m[j]}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}}.$$ 

This will be done using the following lemma (see [BK05, Lemma 3.3.3]):

Lemma 5.2. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism between projective schemes, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $Y$. Assume that the map $H^0(Y, L') \to H^0(X, f^\ast L')$ is an isomorphism for $\nu$ large enough. Then $f_\ast \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$.

Consider $\mathcal{L}$ ample on $X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ and the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(X_{m[j+1]}^{i,j+1}, \mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}, p^\ast \mathcal{L}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}, \mathcal{L}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_{m[j]}, p^\ast \mathcal{L}).
\end{array}
$$

But since $X_{m[j+1]}$ is $D$-split compatibly with $X_{m[j]}$ and $D$ is ample, we get by [BK05, Theorem 1.4.8] that the right vertical map is surjective (the invertible sheaf $p^\ast \mathcal{L}$ is semi-ample as the pull-back of an ample line bundle). Moreover, the map $p : X_{m[j+1]} \to X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration, so the top horizontal map is also surjective. We obtain that the lower horizontal map is surjective. It is injective since the map $p : X_{m[j]} \to X_{m[j]}^{i,j+1}$ is surjective. We may thus apply the previous lemma and deduce the normality.
Remark 5.3. This proof works for $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic, but relies only on vanishing of cohomology and surjectivity of restrictions on cohomology results which pass, by semi-continuity, to characteristic zero. The same proof therefore works for $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

5.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall the definition of a rational morphism and of rational singularities for $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$:

**Definition 5.4.** (i) A morphism of schemes $f: X \to Y$ is called *rational* if $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and all its higher direct images vanish: $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for $i > 0$.

(ii) Assume $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. A normal variety $X$ has *rational singularities* if there exists a rational birational proper morphism $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X}$ smooth.

We first prove the following

**Lemma 5.5.** For all $j \in [0, l - k]$, the map $p_{\tilde{X}_m[j]} : \tilde{X}_m[j] \to X_m[j]$ is a rational morphism.

**Proof.** We prove this lemma by induction over $j$. For $j = 0$, we have $p_{\tilde{X}_m[0]} : \tilde{X}_m[0] \to X_m[0]$ is an isomorphism. Let $j > 0$ and assume that $p_{\tilde{X}_m[j]}$ is rational. Then, since $b'$ is obtained by fiber product from $p_{\tilde{X}_m[j]}$, we see that $b'$ is rational. So, to prove the rationality of $p_{\tilde{X}_m[j+1]}$, we only need to prove the rationality of $b$. Since $b$ is obtained by fiber product from $p$, we only need to prove the rationality of $p: X_m[j] \to X_m[j+1]$. But $p$ is birational and $X_m[j+1]$ is normal (see the proof of the normality of $X$), therefore by the Zariski Main Theorem we obtain the equality $p_*\mathcal{O}_{X_m[j]} = \mathcal{O}_{X_m[j+1]}$. We need to prove the vanishing of the higher direct images. For this we embed $X_m[j]$ in $\mathcal{F}$ and $X_m[j+1]$ in $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{j+1})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_m[j] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow p_{\alpha_{j+1}} \\
X_m[j+1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\alpha_{j+1})
\end{array}
$$

and in particular the fibers of both morphisms are at most one-dimensional, thus $R^i p_*\mathcal{O}_{X_m[j]} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Note that we also have the vanishing $R^2 (p_{\alpha_{j+1}})_*\mathcal{E}$ for any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{F}$. Thus the surjection $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_m[j]}$ induces a surjection $R^1 (p_{\alpha_{j+1}})_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{F} \to R^1 p_*\mathcal{O}_{X_m[j]}$. But since the second vertical map is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration, we have $R^1 (p_{\alpha_{j+1}})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ and the result follows. □

Let us now prove the vanishing $R^i p_{\tilde{X}_m[j]} \omega_{\tilde{X}_m[j]} = 0$ for $i > 0$. For this we use the following direct application of Theorem 1.2.12 from [BK05]:

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $f: X \to Y$ is a proper birational morphism with $X$ smooth. Assume that $\varphi$ is a splitting for $X$ compatibly splitting a divisor $Z$ such that the exceptional locus of $f$ is set-theoretically contained in $Z$. Then we have $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-Z) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

We want to apply this lemma to the map $p: \tilde{X}_m[j] \to X_m[j]$, the splitting constructed above, and the divisor $Z = \partial \tilde{X}_m[j]$. For this we only need to check that the exceptional locus of $p\tilde{X}_m[j]$ is contained in $\partial \tilde{X}_m[j]$. But the map $\tilde{X}_m[j] \to X_m[j]$ decomposes as follows:

$$
\tilde{X}_m[j] = G \times^B \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m[j] \to G \times^B \mathcal{F}_m[j] \to X_m[j]
$$
and this map is $G$-equivariant. Furthermore, the complement to $\partial \tilde{F}_{w[j]}$ in $\tilde{F}_{w[j]}$ is a $B$-equivariant dense open subset thus the complement of $\partial \tilde{X}_{w[j]}$ in $\tilde{X}_{w[j]}$ is a $G$-equivariant dense open subset. The map is therefore an isomorphism on this open subset, and the exceptional locus is contained in $\partial \tilde{X}_{w[j]}$. By the previous lemma, we get the vanishing

$$R^i p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]},*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}}(-\partial \tilde{X}_{w[j]}) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0.$$  

But from Lemma 4.7, we have $\omega_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}}(-\partial \tilde{X}_{w[j]}) \otimes p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]},*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}_{w[j]}}(\mathcal{O}_F(1)|_{X_{w[j]}})$. Thus by projection formula, we get:

$$R^i p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]},*} \omega_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}} = R^i p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]},*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}}(-\partial \tilde{X}_{w[j]}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_F(1)|_{X_{w[j]}}) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0.$$  

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. Corollary 1.3 follows from general results on rational resolutions, see [BK05, Lemma 3.4.2], and Corollary 1.4 follows from the definition of rational singularities and Lemma 5.5.

**Remark 5.7.** The proof of Lemma 5.5 works for any characteristic (once the normality is proved). For $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ we do not need to prove the above vanishing $R^i p_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]},*} \omega_{\tilde{X}_{w[j]}} = 0$ for $i > 0$. This result follows automatically from Grauert–Riemenschneider Theorem [GR70].
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