



Mikhail Skopenkov &lt;mikhail.skopenkov@gmail.com&gt;

---

## RE: Editor's decision on MATH-D-18-00266R1

---

**Mikhail Skopenkov**

&lt;mikhail.skopenkov@gmail.com&gt;

Mon, Oct 26, 2020 at 3:45

PM

To: Letters in Mathematical Physics

&lt;kanishkaa.sridhar@springernature.com&gt;

Dear Editors,

Thank you very much for considering the manuscript "Discrete field theory: symmetries and conservation laws". The author is VERY GRATEFUL to the referees and the editor for reading the manuscript and writing the reviews.

### **Could the Editors share any reviews/comments on the major revision submitted in July, 2020?**

Those could be very useful to improve the quality of the text and to fix possible errors. As it has been already asked in the response to the referee's reports, the author would be grateful for pointing out any particular "imprecisions, mis-defined terms, undefined terms and undefined calculations" mentioned by one of the reviewers, and particular points where "should the mathematical correctness be resolved" mentioned by the editor. Most of the particular issues discussed in that valuable report reduced just to identification of chains and cochains, that is, identification of vectors and linear functions in space  $R^n$  with a fixed distinguished basis. If there are any real mathematical (or physical) errors, the author feels the necessity to be informed.

The official response "the content does not meet the high standards" leaves too much space for (mis)interpretation. A straightforward interpretation of the phrase is mathematical errors, which have been mentioned but not pointed out explicitly. After such mentioning, further explanations seem to be necessary to prevent damage to the author's reputation and circulation of a possibly wrong opinion of the work.

Thus the author would be grateful for any possible more detailed clarification of the official response.

Kindest Regards,  
MS