

## Mikhail Skopenkov <mikhail.skopenkov@gmail.com>

## RE: Editor's decision on MATH-D-18-00266R1

## Elena Baroncini

Fri, Dec 11, 2020 at 12:08

<noreply.sif.baroncini@gmail.com>

PM

To: mikhail.skopenkov@gmail.com

Cc: Imp@sif.it, Kanishkaa Sridhar

<kanishkaa.sridhar@springernature.com>

Dear Dr Skopenkov,

thanks for your email.

Unfortunately in the decision letter you received the comments by the Associate Editor on your paper after the major revisions were not displayed. I send it here below for your information:

Even after the previous major revisions, this paper is not written at a suitable level of rigour for a mathematical physics journal. It is not the referee's job to point out every point of imprecision, and while the revisions address the specific points the referees indicated, these were examples of a general concern with the paper, not an exhaustive list of changes to make. While the results have some interest to the community, the presentation remains insufficiently mathematical after major revisions and so not appropriate for this journal.

Best regards Elena Baroncini LMP Editorial Office

From: Mikhail Skopenkov <mikhail.skopenkov@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2020 7:07 PM

To: Kanishkaa Sridhar <kanishkaa.sridhar@springernature.com>

Subject: Re: Editor's decision on MATH-D-18-00266R1

[External - Use Caution]